UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/595,972	11/06/2008	Takehisa Ode	SENDA-256927	1003	
54042 Cozen O''''Conn	7590 11/26/201 IO r	EXAMINER			
277 PARK AVI	ENUE	AKBASLI, ALP A			
20th Floor NEW YORK, N	NY 10172	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3635	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/26/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

pto@cozen.com ggress@cozen.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/595,972	ODE, TAKEHISA		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		ALP AKBASLI	3635		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)☑	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Sc	antember 2010			
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 September 2010</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
<i>ا</i> ل	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pane Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 455 O.G. 215.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.				
7)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement			
0)	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notic 3) Infori	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite		

Application/Control Number: 10/595,972 Page 2

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application

1. Amendment filed 9/22/2010 has been received. Currently claims 1-16 have been amended and are pending for prosecution.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "each of pillars of a greenhouse" is not a correct phrase and furthermore the pillars are not positively recited, therefore lack antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,972 Page 3

Art Unit: 3635

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spoar et al (US 3,902,280) in view of Shannon (US 2,541,784)

Regarding claims 1, 4, 7 and 10, US'323 teaches of a roof frame comprising a horizontal frame (See multiple layers, 14, Fig. 2) comprising a frame, with a rectangular main beam (20) arranged thereon (See both 24s that are parallel upper and lower chords) and vertical members therebetween (See vertical member, above leader 28, Fig. 2, which lies on both sides just not pictured) and a principal rafter (40) that is coupled to the main frame on both sides of the main beam (20). Regarding the intended use of the structure being a greenhouse, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex Parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). US'280 fails to disclose pillars, however discloses supporting walls of the structure. However it would be obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify a roof system to sit on top of an alternate support such as the pillars, as disclosed by US'784 (See 10, Fig. 1). One would be motivated to make a modification because, pillars,

studs, walls, etc are all interchangeable features in supporting structures of a building or frame and most often than not carry the load of a roof. Swapping one out for another yields no unexpected result.

Regarding claim 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11 and 12, US'784 teaches of the pillars at the corners (See 10, Fig. 1, at corner). Also see horizontal members 15, pinned to pillar 11 by plate 14, Fig. 3) at the four corners.

Regarding claim 3, US'280 teaches of covering material (See 50) supported by end gables (Col. 2, lines 63-67).

Regarding claims 13-16, the above claims teach of all of the structural limitations of the framework, as claimed, therefore inherently teach of the method steps that do not specifically indicate any distinguishing building method, rather describe the same structural limitations as listed in the apparatus claims.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALP AKBASLI whose telephone number is (571) 270-5348. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 9:30am to 6:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on (571)272-6754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ALP AKBASLI/ Examiner, Art Unit 3635

/Terrell Mckinnon/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3632